

PTAB: Work Session for Park Priorities

Jason Smith, Lindy Ellis, Jamie Konkoski, Maria DeAngelo, Marijka Ormel, Jordanna Mallach

Presentation of Parks Plan for acceptance to the board are set for next meeting (Jamie will add the edits collected from the group)

Placeholder in the budget for implementation of Parks Plan (needs to be attached to a list of options/recommendations with proposal of partnerships)

Earlier discussion was also to request from North Elba (also present with partnership)

Partnership by December

 Ideas of possible partners by November

All the recommendations are in the plan the spreadsheet is a working document and continue to be modified.

Jamie shared a draft for Priority Project (working document) that can be used today for organizing- to be PTABs working document

Jamie explained that most Parks plans she has seen have a **framework** to use as partnerships change

Inputs for budgets- December

Looking for partnerships by November (short term- volunteers for equipment & services for workday, long term- user groups)

Statement of increased utilization of all parks by the community

Goals of today's work session:

Guidance and example of projects 3 years out- prioritize improvements that go over all parks, parks to be worked on first

Decide by short term, medium, long term priorities for implementation

Check that list contains the top three priorities that PTAB identified through community input

Possible ways: (Can be a combination- this is a working document and will need to be adjusted as work progresses)

Checklist and scoring

Guiding Principles

Short, Medium, Long term

 Short: 3-5 years

 Medium: 5-10 years

 Long: 10-20 years

Discussion from example:

Outside funding- If a park has possible outside funding sources would this increase the priority-
yes

Partners- what a partner group can provide

Divide plan by:

Maintenance- to be handled by the yearly maintenance checklist

Flag what is in the plan that needs to be on the list each year

Safety

Projects

Location(*Geographic Equity*)- making sure that attention to parks is done equitably across the Village

Types of uses can be combined with User Groups REMOVE and keep *increases recreational variety and experiences*: balancing which user group has projects that address their needs

Existing opportunity: use of site plan from Parks Plan to be used ex: DEC reconstruction

Required resources & Cost to be combined

Need: REMOVE

Impact: REMOVE overlaps other categories

(General Public) Support (**public**, partner, political, users)- board, public comment and surveys (these are a snapshot in time need to redo as time passes) Annual public input- **action** not criteria

Benefits: REMOVE

Cost: REMOVE

Accessibility: geographic, disabilities

Potential to produce revenue: REMOVE

Still need to be discussed:

Potential for cost savings

Is this a project that should be rolled into another, larger project for economies of scale/efficiency?

Will the project reduce operations/maintenance costs?

Increase recreational variety and enhance park experiences (see above)

Next Steps:

Lindy will put the top 3 priorities from our lists

Flag ongoing maintenance issues : Marijka

All: take emails from above actions will be sent by Jamie SML

Short, Medium, Long

ALL PTAB members will need to complete their checklist for next meeting with what they feel are short, medium and long term priorities and EMAIL back to Jamie

Next Meetings:

September 19 6:30pm

October 17 6:30pm

November 14 6:30pm